Research & Design
www.jrede.org

Research Article

Concrete paradox: Economic importance, environmental impacts, and the sustainability of concrete material

Hayri Baytan Ozmen*[a], Barış Tanrıverdi[b]

Department of Civil Engineering, Faculty of Engineering and Natural Sciences, Uşak University, Uşak, Türkiye

Article Info

Article History:

Received:

1 Aug 2025

Accepted:

10 Sept 2025

Keywords:

Alternative materials,
Carbon emissions,
Cement,
Concrete sustainability,
Infrastructure economics,
Life-cycle assessment

Abstract

Concrete is the most consumed man-made material on Earth, with global production exceeding 30 billion metric tons annually. This article critically examines the economic significance, functional advantages, environmental costs, and sustainability strategies surrounding concrete. While the concrete industry generates over USD 500 billion in annual revenue and supports 13+ million jobs, it is also responsible for approximately 7–8% of global CO_2 emissions, largely due to the cement production process. The paper evaluates the historical trajectory of concrete, assesses technological innovations such as supplementary cementitious materials, carbon capture, and geopolymer binders, and reviews the feasibility of alternative materials like engineered timber, rammed earth, and bamboo. a life-cycle and function-based comparative assessment is presented to determine when concrete use is economically and structurally justified and when substitution or reduction is environmentally preferable. The article concludes with policy recommendations to align concrete use with global climate targets, emphasizing a function-driven, lifecycle-conscious approach to material selection. The article also concludes that concrete is not inherently unsustainable, but it must be used strategically and responsibly, as the sustainability of future generations will be affected by the material choices we make today.

1. Introduction: The Concrete Paradox

Concrete stands as one of the most transformative materials in the history of human civilization. Its invention and widespread adoption have fundamentally reshaped the built environment, facilitating the construction of infrastructure, housing, and industrial facilities on an unprecedented scale. As of today, concrete is the second most consumed substance on Earth after water, with an estimated 30 billion metric tons produced globally each year [1]. Its universality is unmatched—concrete forms the foundation of urbanization, supports national economies, and sustains vital public services. Yet, this very necessity conceals a deep paradox: while concrete has become a cornerstone of modern development, it concurrently poses one of the most significant threats to environmental sustainability.

The dual nature of concrete's global presence—its economic necessity and its environmental burden—constitutes what may be termed the "concrete paradox." On one hand, it provides economic value by creating jobs, enabling mobility through roads and bridges, and facilitating social welfare through hospitals, schools, and housing. On the other, its production is highly carbon-intensive, accounting for approximately 7-8% of global CO_2 emissions, primarily due to the calcination process involved in cement

*Corresponding author: baytan.ozmen@usak.edu.tr
[a]orcid.org/0000-0001-6750-8632, [b]orcid.org/0000-0003-0557-0099
DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.17515/rede2025-008en0801rs

manufacturing [2, 3]. The extraction of raw materials such as limestone, sand, and aggregates leads to ecosystem degradation, while the energy demands of production further intensify environmental pressure.

This paper seeks to interrogate this paradox by evaluating concrete not merely as a material, but as a socio-economic and ecological phenomenon. The analysis explores the historical trajectory of concrete's adoption, trace the economic logic underpinning its dominance, assess its functional strengths and technical limitations, and examine its environmental impacts. The article studies whether the continued large-scale production and use of concrete remains justifiable in an era defined by climate change and resource shortage. It considers emerging technologies, alternative materials, and policy interventions that might reconcile concrete's economic benefits with the constraints of sustainability.

Concrete's widespread use is not a product of inertia alone; it is driven by performance, availability, and cost-effectiveness. Yet, the sustainability crisis demands that we re-evaluate this legacy material's role in shaping the future. The goal of this paper is not to dismiss concrete outright, but to inspect the conditions under which its use remains viable and responsible. As such, the central research question: Is concrete still worth producing and using at the current global scale, given its economic utility and environmental costs? Addressing this question requires a multi-dimensional exploration that considers not just the material properties of concrete, but also its entanglement with economic systems, technological trajectories, and environmental thresholds.

2. Historical Evolution and Global Trends

The history of concrete is closely tied to the progression of human civilization, from ancient construction techniques to modern industrialized economies. While basic forms of concrete—mixtures of lime, volcanic ash, and aggregates—were used by the Egyptians, Greeks, and Romans, it was the development of Portland cement in the early 19th century that marked a turning point in the material's technological evolution and global spread. Patented by Joseph Aspdin in 1824, Portland cement became the cornerstone of modern concrete, offering improved strength, durability, and stability. This invention laid the groundwork for what would become the most widely used construction material in the world [4].

The 20th century witnessed a rapid acceleration in concrete usage, particularly after World War II, when the reconstruction of war-torn Europe and the expansion of suburban infrastructure in North America drove massive demand. The post-war economic boom saw concrete as a facilitator of rapid urbanization, industrial expansion, and infrastructural connectivity. In the 1970s and 1980s, concrete continued to be the material of choice for large-scale public works, including highways, dams, airports, and housing estates. During this period, industrialized nations dominated both the production and consumption of concrete.

However, the past two decades have marked a geographic and economic transformation in global concrete trends. With industrialization shifting toward the Global South, particularly Asia, concrete production and consumption have surged in developing economies. China, in particular, has dramatically altered the global concrete landscape. In 2020 and 2021 alone, China consumed more cement than the United States did in the entire 20th century [5]. This explosion in demand has been driven by aggressive urbanization policies, mega infrastructure projects, and an expanding real estate market. Other emerging economies such as India, Indonesia, Brazil, and Nigeria have followed suit, further reinforcing the centrality of concrete to modern economic development.

Global cement production—the primary binder in concrete—has followed this trend, rising from approximately 1.5 billion tons in 2000 to over 4.3 billion tons in 2021 [6]. This increase reflects not only population growth and urban expansion but also the material's cost-effectiveness and adaptability. At present, over 60% of cement production occurs in Asia, with China alone accounting for more than half of the total output [7].

In parallel to this growth, several new trends have emerged. First, the shift from manual to mechanized and automated production has improved output efficiency but raised questions about energy consumption and emissions. Second, precast and modular concrete technologies have gained prominence, particularly in high-density urban developments. Third, the emergence of "green" concrete and low-carbon cement technologies reflects the mounting pressure to reconcile concrete's economic benefits with climate necessities. Despite these innovations, global demand continues to climb. Forecasts estimate that total concrete production could reach 20 billion cubic meters annually by 2050, especially as emerging economies pursue infrastructure-driven growth strategies [1].

Importantly, this rising demand has been accompanied by growing criticisms. While concrete remains central to development agendas, its high environmental cost has fueled debates around sustainable alternatives and lifecycle emissions. In response, regulatory frameworks—particularly in the European Union, Japan, and parts of North America—have started to incorporate environmental performance metrics into building codes and procurement policies. Simultaneously, multilateral organizations such as the United Nations Environment Programme (UNEP) and the Global Cement and Concrete Association (GCCA) have begun issuing decarbonization roadmaps for the industry[8].

The historical trajectory of concrete is one of technological ingenuity, global expansion, and profound economic impact. Yet, as this trajectory converges with the realities of climate change and finite natural resources, it becomes crucial to contextualize concrete within a broader socio-environmental framework. Understanding how and why concrete became the material of choice globally provides the necessary background for evaluating its contemporary challenges and future viability.

3. Economic Value of Concrete

Concrete plays a pivotal role in the global economy, both as a direct contributor to gross domestic product (GDP) and as an enabler of broader economic development. Its significance extends across multiple sectors, including construction, transportation, energy, and manufacturing. The concrete industry—comprising cement production, concrete mixing, transportation, and construction services—generates an estimated USD 500 billion in annual revenue worldwide [9, 10]. Its economic value is not merely derived from volume, but from its foundational role in facilitating the physical infrastructure that underpins modern economies.

3.1 Direct and Indirect Employment

The concrete sector is a major source of employment. It is estimated that over 13 million people globally are directly employed in cement and concrete manufacturing, with tens of millions more in ancillary services such as logistics, construction, mining, and machinery [11]. In regions with growing infrastructure demands—particularly South Asia, Sub-Saharan Africa, and Southeast Asia—the labor-intensive nature of construction offers essential employment opportunities, especially for low-skilled and semi-skilled workers. Furthermore, the presence of concrete production facilities often stimulates local economies through demand for aggregate materials, transportation, and engineering services.

3.2 Enabler of Infrastructure and Economic Development

Concrete is essential to public and private infrastructure. Roads, highways, ports, airports, water systems, schools, hospitals, and energy plants are overwhelmingly built using concrete due to its structural strength, cost-efficiency, and durability. This makes concrete a keystone input in national development plans and international aid programs. The World Bank and regional development banks often link infrastructure investment directly to economic growth, where concrete is the material of choice due to its availability and affordability [12, 13].

For example, studies have shown that every dollar invested in infrastructure yields approximately $1.30 to $1.60 in GDP growth in developing countries, and concrete is a critical enabler of that return [14]. Mega-projects such as China's Belt and Road Initiative, India's Smart Cities Mission, and Africa's Programme for Infrastructure Development all rely extensively on concrete-based construction, highlighting its centrality in large-scale economic planning.

3.3 Local Production, Supply Chain, and Economic Resilience

One of concrete's underappreciated economic strengths is its local production model. Unlike steel or polymers that often rely on globally distributed raw materials and centralized manufacturing, concrete is produced close to where it is used. Cement plants and batching facilities are typically located within a 100 km radius of major construction zones, reducing transport costs and fostering regional self-sufficiency [2]. This decentralization supports local quarries, small businesses, and municipal economies.

Moreover, this localized model enhances economic resilience by insulating construction industries from global supply chain disruptions. During the COVID-19 pandemic, while international shipping of manufactured goods was severely constrained, many concrete supply chains remained relatively stable due to their local sourcing and distribution networks [15].

3.4 Economic Multiplier Effect

The economic influence of concrete extends through multiplier effects. Concrete-intensive construction projects often generate upward and downstream economic activities, from equipment manufacturing and building services to real estate and finance. In high-income countries, infrastructure renewal—including the maintenance of bridges, tunnels, and buildings—continues to be a significant economic stimulus tool. In low- and middle-income economies, new construction creates demand-side momentum, catalyzing urban growth and real estate development.

Additionally, the financialization of concrete-related sectors—such as infrastructure investment funds, public-private partnerships (PPPs), and real estate investment trusts (REITs)—reflects how integral concrete is not only to physical development but also to financial systems. Concrete-based projects often underpin debt instruments, sovereign infrastructure bonds, and macroeconomic forecasting models [16, 17].

3.5 Costs and Trade-offs

Despite these economic benefits, it is essential to acknowledge the economic externalities associated with concrete. These include environmental remediation costs, health impacts from air pollution, and infrastructure maintenance burdens. For instance, aging concrete bridges and buildings in North America and Europe require billions in rehabilitation spending annually [18, 19]. Moreover, the lack of circularity in most concrete lifecycles implies future costs related to demolition waste and landfill management.

Nevertheless, from an economic standpoint, concrete remains a highly rational choice, especially in contexts where durability, availability, and affordability are paramount. The economic argument for concrete is thus not merely historical or structural—it is active, dynamic, and, for now, largely indispensable.

4. Functional and Structural Advantages of Concrete

The continued global reliance on concrete is not merely a consequence of economic convenience but is also rooted in its exceptional functional performance and structural characteristics. Concrete combines material versatility, local availability, and durability in a way that few construction materials can rival. Its technological maturity, adaptability to various design requirements, and compatibility with diverse climatic and geological conditions have rooted it as a default material for public, residential, and industrial infrastructure worldwide.

Table 1 consolidates the main advantages and disadvantages of concrete, providing a quick reference to the technical, economic, and environmental factors that influence its selection in construction projects.

4.1 Mechanical Strength and Structural Reliability

One of the most prominent functional advantages of concrete is its high compressive strength, which makes it particularly suitable for load-bearing structures such as foundations, columns, dams, and retaining walls. Although concrete is weak in tension and requires reinforcement, typically with steel bars or meshes (reinforced concrete), this composite system delivers superior structural performance. When properly designed and constructed, reinforced concrete can achieve lifespans exceeding 100 years, offering long-term reliability [20]. In addition to compressive strength, concrete exhibits excellent fire resistance and can maintain structural integrity during high-temperature events, making it ideal for fire-prone environments and critical infrastructure.

4.2 Durability and Low Maintenance

Concrete's resistance to weathering, corrosion (when properly treated), and environmental wear underpins its widespread use in exposed applications such as bridges, marine structures, and highways. Compared to materials like timber or steel, concrete requires relatively low maintenance over its service life, particularly in arid or temperate climates. Innovations such as high-performance concrete (HPC) and self-healing concrete have further enhanced its longevity by improving resistance to cracking, sulfate attack, and freeze–thaw cycles [21, 22].

The capacity of concrete to withstand time and environment not only reduces life-cycle costs but also aligns with the principles of sustainable construction, especially when evaluated using life-cycle assessment (LCA) methodologies. Long-lasting infrastructure reduces the frequency of reconstruction and the embedded emissions associated with repeated material usage.

4.3 Moldability and Design Flexibility

Concrete's plasticity in its fresh state allows it to be poured into a virtually infinite variety of forms. This makes it highly adaptable for both standardized and architecturally complex structures, from monolithic bridge piers to expressive facades in modern architecture. The material can be precast or cast in situ, enabling both mass production and custom, on-site fabrication.

Furthermore, advances in formwork technology and 3D concrete printing have expanded the material's design potential. Free-form architecture and digital fabrication techniques are increasingly leveraging concrete's moldability, reducing construction time and labor costs while offering new aesthetic possibilities [23, 24]. This functional advantage also contributes to modular construction approaches, which promote efficiency and minimize site disruption.

Table 1. Comparative advantages and disadvantages of concrete in relation to other common construction materials

Category	Advantages	Disadvantages
Structural	• High compressive strength (superior to timber, comparable to masonry). • Excellent fire resistance (better than timber; steel loses strength at high temperatures). • Dimensional stability—does not warp like timber.	• Low tensile strength—requires steel reinforcement. • Heavier than steel or timber, increasing foundation needs and seismic loads.
Economic	• Lower initial cost than structural steel in most regions. • Local raw materials reduce transport costs (less true for steel). • Long service life with proper maintenance.	• Maintenance for aging concrete (spalling, cracking) is less costly than steel corrosion repairs but higher than low-maintenance timber systems in some climates. • Slow on-site curing increases project time compared to prefabricated steel or timber modules.
Practical	• Versatile—can form complex shapes and massive monolithic structures unlike steel or timber. • Thermal mass benefits energy efficiency in buildings (better than steel, lower than masonry in some cases).	• Long curing time delays load-bearing readiness compared to prefabricated steel/timber. • Difficult to modify after curing (less adaptable than timber or steel frames).
Environment	• Long service life reduces replacement frequency compared to untreated timber. • Some incorporation of recycled aggregates and supplementary cementitious materials possible (steel also recyclable, timber renewable).	• High CO_2 footprint from cement production—greater than steel per mass for equivalent compressive strength. • Recycling potential limited to downcycling as aggregate, unlike steel's closed-loop recycling or timber's biodegradability. • High water and aggregate demand compared to steel and timber.

4.4 Local Material Availability and Economic Efficiency

Concrete's primary components—cement, aggregates (sand and gravel), and water—are generally abundant and locally sourced, reducing the need for long-distance transportation and enabling cost-effective production. This availability makes concrete uniquely scalable and suitable for use in both high-income and resource-constrained settings. Unlike steel or polymers, which often depend on imported raw materials and complex supply chains, concrete supports localized construction economies.

In disaster-prone or remote regions, this local availability is critical. Concrete allows for rapid deployment in emergency shelters, water infrastructure, and transport networks. Its favorable strength-to-cost ratio further contributes to its dominance in both large-scale infrastructure and small-scale residential construction.

4.5 Thermal Mass and Energy Performance

Concrete also offers advantages in energy efficiency through its thermal mass properties, which help regulate indoor temperatures by absorbing, storing, and gradually releasing heat. In temperate and hot climates, this can lead to significant reductions in energy demand for heating and cooling, particularly when combined with passive solar design principles [25]. While concrete is often criticized for its energy-intensive production phase, its operational energy performance over the building's lifespan can partially offset these impacts.

Moreover, innovations in insulating concrete forms (ICFs) and composite wall systems have expanded the role of concrete in achieving high-performance building envelopes. These systems contribute to building energy codes and green certification standards such as LEED and BREEAM.

5. Limitations and Economic Drawbacks

Despite its widespread adoption and manifold advantages, concrete presents significant technical, economic, and functional limitations that complicate its uncritical use in contemporary construction. These drawbacks are particularly noticeable in light of growing environmental, economic, and urban sustainability challenges. Understanding the limitations of concrete is essential not only for balanced material selection but also for guiding innovations that can mitigate its downsides.

5.1 Structural Limitations and Material Vulnerability

One of the primary structural disadvantages of concrete is its inherent brittleness and low tensile strength, which necessitate the use of reinforcing materials such as steel [20]. While reinforcement improves overall performance, it introduces complexity in design, increases cost, and creates long-term risks associated with corrosion of steel reinforcements. Chloride ingress, carbonation, and freeze-thaw cycles can lead to degradation of reinforced concrete structures, particularly in marine and humid environments, significantly reducing their service life and raising maintenance demands [26].

Moreover, shrinkage, creep, and cracking are common concerns that compromise both structural integrity and aesthetic appeal. These issues often lead to increased costs related to crack repair, waterproofing, and durability enhancements, particularly in high-performance or exposed structures.

5.2 High Embodied Energy and Resource Intensity

Economically, while concrete may appear cost-effective at the point of construction, it carries externalized environmental costs due to its high embodied energy and resource extraction footprint. The production of Portland cement, which constitutes 10–15% of concrete by weight, is extremely energy-intensive and emits significant levels of CO_2. Each ton of cement emits approximately 0.9 tons of CO_2, making it one of the most carbon-intensive materials in use today [3]. Additionally, the extraction of aggregates contributes to landscape degradation, water pollution, and ecosystem disruption, especially in regions with unregulated mining operations [27].

These environmental externalities have economic implications, especially in jurisdictions where carbon pricing, environmental impact assessments, or ecological restoration obligations are imposed. As regulatory frameworks tighten globally, the true cost of concrete may increase, thereby diminishing its appeal relative to emerging, more sustainable alternatives.

5.3 Maintenance and Infrastructure Lifespan Challenges

In aging urban centers across North America, Europe, and parts of Asia, concrete infrastructure built during the mid-20th century is reaching or has exceeded its design life. Bridges, tunnels, overpasses, and public housing blocks are increasingly requiring expensive retrofitting, reinforcement, or demolition. For instance, the American Society of Civil Engineers (ASCE) estimates that deferred maintenance on aging concrete infrastructure in the U.S. alone will require over $2.5 trillion in investments by 2025 [19]. These escalating lifecycle costs challenge the perception of concrete as a low-maintenance material and raise questions about long-term sustainability and public sector budgeting.

In addition, structures built with poor quality control, substandard mixes, or inadequate reinforcement are prone to early deterioration, especially in rapidly urbanizing regions where regulatory oversight is weak. The 2008 Sichuan earthquake, for example, exposed widespread failures in concrete school buildings, attributed in part to substandard materials and poor construction practices [28, 29].

5.4 Limitations in Design and Adaptability

While concrete excels in compressive applications, it is less suited for flexible, modular, or rapidly deployable construction. Its weight, rigidity, and need for curing time limit its usefulness in situations where speed, lightness, and reconfigurability are critical. For instance, in temporary shelters, mobile architecture, or lightweight vertical extensions, materials like engineered wood or steel often outperform concrete in terms of logistics and design adaptability.

Furthermore, concrete construction is labor- and time-intensive, requiring skilled labor, quality control, and extensive site preparation. These constraints can become cost-prohibitive in contexts where labor shortages, site access issues, or tight project timelines are prevalent.

5.5 Lifecycle and End-of-Life Challenges

Concrete's end-of-life scenario poses significant environmental and economic concerns. Unlike metals or plastics, concrete cannot be reprocessed into the same material without significant downcycling. While recycled concrete aggregate (RCA) is used in sub-base applications, it typically exhibits lower strength, higher porosity, and reduced durability, limiting its utility in structural applications [30, 31]. The demolition and landfilling of concrete structures contribute to construction and demolition (C&D) waste, which now constitutes 25–30% of total solid waste in many developed countries [32].

Moreover, deconstruction and recycling costs are often not factored into initial economic evaluations, creating a distorted view of concrete’s cost-effectiveness. As circular economy principles gain traction in the construction industry, these end-of-life limitations may become increasingly problematic.

6. Environmental Impacts of Concrete Production

Concrete, while indispensable in contemporary infrastructure, exerts a profound and multifaceted burden on the environment. The environmental costs arise not only from the energy- and emission-intensive production of cement—the primary binder in concrete—but also from aggregate extraction, water consumption, urban heat island effects, and end-of-life waste management. As the scale of concrete production has expanded, these impacts have reached global proportions, contributing significantly to anthropogenic climate change, resource depletion, and ecological degradation.

6.1 Cement Production and CO_2 Emissions

The most critical environmental issue associated with concrete is the carbon dioxide emissions from cement manufacturing, which accounts for approximately 7–8% of global CO_2 emissions [3, 6]. The emissions result from two main sources: (depending on energy sources and kiln efficiency) the calcination of limestone ($CaCO_3$) to produce clinker (the key component of cement), which alone releases about 60% of the CO_2, and the combustion of fossil fuels to reach the high kiln temperatures required, which contributes the remaining 40% [2]. Given the anticipated rise in global infrastructure demand, especially in developing nations, cement emissions are projected to remain a major barrier to achieving global carbon neutrality targets.

While technological interventions such as carbon capture and storage (CCS) and alternative fuels are being explored, widespread deployment remains limited by cost, technical feasibility, and regulatory inertia. Moreover, attempts to lower clinker content through the use of supplementary cementitious materials (SCMs)—such as fly ash, ground granulated blast furnace slag (GGBFS), and calcined clay—are constrained by regional availability and inconsistent performance metrics [1].

6.2 Resource Depletion and Aggregate Mining

Concrete's demand for natural resources is immense. Producing one cubic meter of concrete typically requires over 2,400 kg of raw materials, including cement, sand, gravel, and water. The extraction of sand and gravel—key aggregate components—has become one of the most ecologically destructive activities globally. According to recent estimates, humans extract more than 50 billion tons of sand and gravel annually, a figure that far exceeds natural replenishment rates and has led to severe riverbed degradation, coastal erosion, and biodiversity loss in numerous regions [27].

Unregulated or illegal sand mining, particularly in Southeast Asia, India, and parts of Africa, has resulted in not only ecological collapse in some freshwater ecosystems but also social conflicts, often involving corruption, violence, and displacement. The depletion of high-quality natural aggregates has also pushed extraction into increasingly remote or ecologically sensitive areas, thereby compounding environmental risks [33–36].

6.3 Water Use and Pollution

Concrete production is also water-intensive, especially during the curing phase and in ready-mix concrete operations. The World Business Council for Sustainable Development (WBCSD) estimates that cement production alone consumes approximately 1.7 billion cubic meters of water annually. In water-stressed regions, this demand competes directly with agricultural and domestic needs, worsening local water scarcity [37–39]. Additionally, cement and concrete plants contribute to surface and groundwater contamination through wastewater discharge and runoff containing high alkalinity and particulate matter. Improper handling of slurry waste and washing effluents can pollute aquatic habitats and soil systems, raising both environmental and public health concerns.

6.4 Urban Heat Islands and Land Use

Another indirect environmental impact of widespread concrete usage is its contribution to the urban heat island (UHI) effect. Concrete surfaces have low albedo (reflectivity) and high thermal mass, causing urban areas to retain heat during the day and release it at night, thus increasing average temperatures in densely built environments [40]. UHIs elevate energy consumption for cooling, intensify air pollution, and deteriorate public health, particularly during heatwaves.

In addition, the conversion of permeable land into impermeable concrete surfaces disrupts natural hydrological cycles, reducing groundwater recharge and increasing stormwater runoff, which can lead to urban flooding and water pollution. The ecological consequences of large-scale land sealing by concrete infrastructure—such as habitat fragmentation and loss of soil carbon—are often overlooked in life-cycle assessments but remain ecologically significant.

6.5 End-of-Life and Waste Generation

Concrete's end-of-life phase also carries a significant environmental burden. Demolition waste from concrete structures constitutes a major share of global construction and demolition waste, estimated at over 2.5 billion tons per year globally [32]. Although a portion of this waste is downcycled into road base material or fill, true recycling into new structural concrete remains limited due to technical and quality challenges.

The lack of circularity in the concrete lifecycle implies a linear consumption model—extraction, use, disposal—that runs counter to the principles of a sustainable, circular economy. This model not only exacerbates landfilling and environmental degradation but also necessitates continuous input of virgin raw materials, thereby perpetuating the cycle of environmental harm.

7. Innovations and Sustainability Strategies

In response to the mounting environmental challenges posed by conventional concrete production and use, significant technological, material, and policy-driven innovations have emerged over the past two decades. These strategies aim to reduce concrete's carbon footprint, conserve resources, and promote lifecycle efficiency without compromising its structural integrity and economic viability. The transition toward more sustainable concrete practices is being driven by a combination of industry innovation, academic research, regulatory mandates, and market pressures aligned with global climate goals such as the Paris Agreement and United Nations Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) [41].

Table 2 presents info regarding emerging low-carbon technologies in the cement and concrete sector. SCM substitution is already widely adopted, while carbon capture and geopolymer technologies hold higher long-term promise but face cost and scalability barriers [6, 42–45].

Table 2. Low-carbon concrete innovations

Technology	Emission Reduction Potential	Cost Impact	Example Use Case
SCM substitution (fly ash, slag)	20–40%	Neutral to lower	Ready-mix concrete in EU & US
Geopolymer binders	40–80%	10–20% higher	Precast elements in Australia
Carbon capture in kilns	60–90%	30–50% higher	Pilot plants in Norway, Canada
Recycled aggregates	10–20%	Slightly higher	Japan & EU road bases

7.1 Supplementary Cementitious Materials (SCMs)

One of the most widely adopted strategies to reduce emissions in concrete production is the substitution of Portland cement clinker with supplementary cementitious materials (SCMs). Common SCMs include fly ash (a byproduct of coal combustion), ground granulated blast furnace slag (GGBFS) (from steel manufacturing), silica fume, and calcined clay. These materials not only reduce clinker demand—which accounts for the bulk of cement-related CO_2 emissions—but can also improve durability, workability, and chemical resistance [1].

Blended cements incorporating SCMs have demonstrated up to 30–50% reduction in embodied CO_2 emissions, depending on the blend and application [2]. The growing interest in limestone calcined clay cement (LC^3) is especially notable, as it uses abundant and low-cost materials to achieve performance comparable to ordinary Portland cement with significantly lower emissions [46].

However, the adoption of SCMs is not without challenges. The availability of industrial by-products like fly ash and slag is regionally variable and declining in some cases due to the global energy transition away from coal and blast furnaces. Moreover, performance variability and lack of standardized specifications in some regions hinder large-scale implementation.

7.2 Carbon Capture and Utilization Technologies

A promising frontier in decarbonizing cement production is the integration of carbon capture, utilization, and storage (CCUS) technologies. These approaches seek to either sequester CO_2 emitted during the calcination process or incorporate it directly into concrete products. For instance, carbonation curing, used in precast concrete, involves injecting CO_2 into fresh concrete to accelerate strength gain while permanently sequestering carbon in the form of calcium carbonate [47, 48].

Companies such as CarbonCure and Solidia Technologies have begun commercializing such systems, reporting 5–15% reductions in net CO_2 emissions per cubic meter of concrete. Nonetheless, widespread adoption of CCUS is hindered by cost, scalability, and infrastructure requirements, such as proximity to CO_2 sources and transportation logistics [49–51].

7.3 Alternative Binders and Geopolymer Concrete

Beyond partial replacement strategies, research is expanding into alternative binder systems that do not rely on traditional clinker. Geopolymer concrete, made from aluminosilicate-rich industrial waste (e.g., fly ash or metakaolin) and activated with alkaline solutions, offers substantial environmental advantages. Life-cycle assessments claimed to shown that geopolymer concrete can reduce CO_2 emissions by up to 80% compared to conventional Portland cement [52]. However, long-term performance and standardization may be barriers to achieve this goal.

However, technical barriers such as alkaline handling safety, long-term durability validation, and standardization issues limit current market uptake. Despite these hurdles, geopolymer technology holds long-term promise for zero-clinker concrete systems, particularly in specialized applications like precast elements and fire-resistant structures.

7.4 Recycled Aggregates and Circular Economy Models

Efforts to promote material circularity have led to increased interest in the use of recycled concrete aggregate (RCA) and construction and demolition (C&D) waste in new concrete formulations. While RCA typically results in slightly reduced mechanical properties, recent innovations in pre-treatment methods (e.g., acid washing, carbonation, and thermal processing) have improved its performance, making it viable for use in non-structural and, increasingly, structural applications [30].

Adopting recycled aggregates contributes to reduced lower extraction pressures on natural resources and shorter transportation distances. These benefits align with broader goals of transitioning to a circular economy, as encouraged by the European Green Deal and emerging national strategies in countries like the Netherlands and Japan.

7.5 Digital Technologies and Smart Construction

Technological innovation is also transforming how concrete is produced and applied. Digital fabrication techniques, such as 3D concrete printing, enable precise material usage, minimized waste, and complex architectural forms with fewer resources. Additionally, building information modeling (BIM) and digital twin technologies are optimizing concrete mix design, structural performance predictions, and maintenance planning, contributing to more efficient lifecycle management [23].

Smart sensors embedded in concrete can also monitor structural health, humidity, and carbonation depth, enabling predictive maintenance and extending infrastructure life, thereby lowering overall environmental and economic costs.

7.6 Policy Instruments and Green Certifications

Policy mechanisms are essential for accelerating sustainable practices in concrete production and use. Carbon pricing, green public procurement, and building energy codes are increasingly incorporating low-carbon concrete criteria. Certifications such as LEED (Leadership in Energy and Environmental Design), BREEAM (Building Research Establishment Environmental Assessment Method), and Envision incentivize the use of eco-efficient materials and lifecycle assessment in construction.

Furthermore, the Global Cement and Concrete Association (GCCA) and the Cement Sustainability Initiative (CSI) have developed roadmaps for carbon neutrality, setting benchmarks and timelines for reducing emissions. These initiatives reflect growing alignment between industry leadership and climate policy objectives.

8. Alternatives to Conventional Concrete

As the sustainability challenges of conventional concrete become increasingly urgent, researchers, engineers, and policymakers are exploring alternative construction materials that can reduce environmental burdens while fulfilling similar structural and functional roles. These alternatives vary widely in terms of their mechanical performance, resource intensity, and regional applicability. While no single material currently matches concrete in terms of global scalability and versatility, various low-carbon or renewable substitutes show promise in targeted applications, particularly in low- to mid-rise construction, modular systems, and climate-specific designs.

8.1 Engineered Timber and Mass Timber Products

Among the most widely discussed alternatives is engineered timber, especially in the form of cross-laminated timber (CLT), glulam, and laminated veneer lumber (LVL). These materials offer significant advantages in terms of embodied carbon. Timber acts as a carbon sink, storing atmospheric CO_2 absorbed during tree growth, and engineered forms of wood have improved strength, dimensional stability, and fire performance compared to traditional lumber [53].

Engineered timber structures have been successfully implemented in mid-rise and even high-rise projects, such as the Mjøstårnet in Norway (85.4 meters). These developments illustrate timber's potential to replace concrete in specific sectors. Moreover, prefabrication and modular construction with timber enables faster assembly, less site disturbance, and lower construction waste.

However, engineered timber also has limitations. It is less suitable for long-span or highly loaded structures, is susceptible to moisture degradation if not properly treated, and often faces code restrictions or lack of familiarity among contractors in many regions. Additionally, large-scale timber use must be matched by sustainable forestry practices to avoid unintended deforestation or biodiversity loss.

8.2 Rammed Earth and Stabilized Soil Construction

Rammed earth, an ancient building technique, is experiencing renewed interest due to its extremely low embodied energy and minimal processing requirements. It involves compacting layers of moistened subsoil into formwork to create solid walls. When stabilized with cement or lime, rammed earth offers improved mechanical strength, making it suitable for structural and load-bearing elements [54].

This method is particularly relevant in arid and semi-arid climates, where thermal mass is beneficial and local soil resources are readily available. Rammed earth buildings have high durability, excellent fire resistance, and low maintenance demands. However, limitations include labor intensity, long construction times, and variable performance based on soil composition and compaction quality. As such, its use is best suited for context-specific, low-rise, and residential applications.

8.3 Bamboo and Bio-Based Composites

In tropical and subtropical regions, bamboo is gaining attention as a renewable and high-strength construction material. Engineered bamboo products, such as laminated bamboo panels and bamboo-reinforced composites, demonstrate excellent tensile strength, rapid renewability (with growth cycles of 3–5 years), and biodegradability [55].

However, bamboo construction remains niche, constrained by limited standardization, vulnerability to biological decay, and poor fire performance without treatment. Despite these drawbacks, bamboo presents strong potential for temporary structures, low-income housing, and lightweight modular components, especially in Asia, Latin America, and parts of Africa.

Similarly, hempcrete, made from the woody core of the hemp plant mixed with a lime-based binder, provides high thermal insulation and carbon sequestration potential. Though not structurally load-bearing, it is increasingly used for non-load-bearing walls and insulation, particularly in green building contexts [56].

8.4 Steel and Hybrid Structural Systems

Structural steel offers an established alternative to concrete in high-rise, long-span, and industrial structures due to its high strength-to-weight ratio, tensile capacity, and prefabrication potential. Steel allows for lighter foundations, quicker erection times, and adaptability in seismic zones. Moreover, steel is recyclable at high rates—often over 90% in construction contexts—supporting circular economy models [57].

However, steel's production is energy- and emissions-intensive, and it typically carries higher upfront costs. Additionally, thermal and acoustic insulation requirements often necessitate complementary materials, making steel more suitable in composite systems rather than as a sole substitute for concrete.

Hybrid systems, combining steel frames with timber floors or precast concrete panels, aim to capitalize on the advantages of each material while mitigating their drawbacks. Such configurations offer design flexibility, performance optimization, and potential reductions in both emissions and costs.

8.5 Material Selection Based on Context

It is crucial to recognize that material substitution must be context-sensitive. Climatic conditions, resource availability, labor skill levels, building codes, and project scale all influence the suitability of alternative materials. For example, while CLT may be ideal for a mid-rise commercial building in Canada, rammed earth may be more appropriate for rural housing in Sub-Saharan Africa. Similarly, in high-density urban areas, steel-concrete hybrid systems may offer the best trade-off between performance and sustainability.

A critical challenge in evaluating alternatives to conventional concrete lies in the scarcity of region-specific life-cycle assessment (LCA) data. While global studies often highlight the potential of engineered timber, rammed earth, or geopolymer concretes, their actual environmental performance depends heavily on local resource availability, transportation distances, and energy mixes. For instance, engineered timber may yield substantial carbon savings in regions with sustainable forestry practices, but its benefits diminish in areas requiring long transport chains. The lack of comprehensive regional LCA datasets therefore limits the reliability of substitution assessments, underscoring a significant research gap in sustainable construction studies [1, 58].

No single material currently offers a universal replacement for concrete, but a diversified approach, leveraging regionally appropriate alternatives and hybrid designs, can significantly reduce reliance on traditional concrete and align with sustainable development goals.

9. Is It Still Worth It? Economic Validation vs. Environmental Costs

The dual identity of concrete—as both an essential engine of development and a significant contributor to environmental degradation—poses one of the most profound sustainability dilemmas in modern construction. As climate concerns escalate and resource constraints intensify, the question of whether concrete remains a justifiable material of choice demands critical re-examination. This section synthesizes the economic and environmental arguments developed thus far, assessing under what circumstances concrete's continued large-scale use is rational, and where its reduction or replacement may be warranted.

9.1 Contexts Where Concrete Remains Indispensable

There are several infrastructure domains where concrete's economic utility and technical performance remain unmatched. In large-scale infrastructure projects—such as highways, bridges, tunnels, ports, dams, and high-rise foundations—the compressive strength, durability, and local availability of concrete make it the most practical material. Alternatives, while promising in low-rise or specialized applications, often fall short in load-bearing capacity, scalability, or cost efficiency at such scales.

Moreover, the economic multiplier effects associated with concrete infrastructure continue to be vital in developing economies, where physical infrastructure directly correlates with productivity, connectivity, and poverty alleviation [14]. Concrete enables job creation, local industrialization, and regional self-sufficiency, particularly where imported or technologically advanced materials are prohibitively expensive or inaccessible.

Even in high-income countries, concrete remains a key material in urban renewal, transport modernization, and climate adaptation projects such as sea walls and flood defenses, where structural integrity under extreme conditions is paramount.

9.2 Cases for Reduction, Substitution, or Optimization

Conversely, many applications currently relying on conventional concrete could transition toward low-carbon or alternative materials without sacrificing performance. In low-rise residential construction, for instance, engineered timber, earth-based materials, or modular steel systems can achieve similar outcomes with significantly lower environmental costs. The overuse of concrete in contexts that do not require its full structural capacity represents a form of material inefficiency and, increasingly, environmental irresponsibility.

Moreover, in non-structural elements—such as pavements, partition walls, and urban furniture—there is growing scope to use recycled materials, SCM-rich blends, or even geopolymers, thereby reducing clinker dependency. Smart design, material efficiency, and performance-based specifications, rather than prescriptive norms, can guide architects and engineers toward optimal material use and functional sufficiency, avoiding the over-engineering of concrete elements.

The principle of sufficiency—using "enough concrete but no more than necessary"—is a powerful paradigm shift in sustainable design, encouraging not only material reduction but also a broader cultural change in construction ethics [59].

9.3 Economic Rationality vs. Environmental Responsibility

From an economic perspective, concrete remains appealing due to short-term affordability, established supply chains, and low volatility in cost. However, this economic logic often externalizes environmental costs—greenhouse gas emissions, land degradation, biodiversity loss, and waste generation—that are borne collectively and over time. Traditional cost-benefit analyses, which emphasize upfront expenditure and immediate returns, fail to account for life-cycle emissions, maintenance liabilities, and ecological impacts, thereby distorting the real "price" of concrete.

As climate regulations tighten, these externalities are likely to be internalized through carbon pricing, green taxes, and extended producer responsibility (EPR) frameworks. In this evolving policy landscape, continuing to rely on conventional concrete without innovation will become economically irrational. On the other hand, eco-efficient concrete technologies, material substitution, and digital optimization tools offer a path to align economic and environmental objectives.

9.4 A Conditional Justification

Concrete is not inherently unsustainable; rather, its sustainability hinges on how it is produced, where it is used, and for what purpose. In critical infrastructure and structural roles, its benefits may outweigh its environmental drawbacks—especially if enhanced through clinker substitution, low-carbon cement, carbon capture, and recycling. In marginal or non-critical applications, however, continued use of traditional concrete is increasingly hard to justify.

The future of concrete lies in selectivity: using it where truly necessary, optimizing it through technological innovation, and complementing it with alternative materials elsewhere. This nuanced approach, balancing performance, cost, and ecological responsibility, represents the most rational path forward in the era of climate constraint.

10. Conclusion and Policy Implications

Concrete has underpinned the structural and economic foundation of modern civilization. From transportation networks and housing developments to industrial facilities and coastal defenses, its widespread application has enabled unprecedented urbanization, connectivity, and socio-economic development. However, this material's extensive use comes at a steep environmental cost, particularly through its contribution to global carbon emissions, resource extraction, and ecological degradation. As the climate crisis intensifies and sustainability becomes a central policy priority, the continued use of conventional concrete must be critically reevaluated.

This article has demonstrated that while concrete remains functionally indispensable in certain applications—particularly large-scale and load-bearing infrastructure—it is increasingly difficult to justify its universal, default use, especially in non-critical or low-rise settings where more sustainable alternatives exist. The economic rationale for concrete, long centered on affordability, durability, and local availability, must now be balanced against the hidden environmental costs that are becoming harder to ignore or externalize.

The emergence of eco-efficient technologies, such as blended cements, supplementary cementitious materials, carbon capture and utilization, and digital design optimization, offers promising pathways to reduce concrete's environmental footprint. Likewise, the rise of alternative construction materials—including engineered timber, geopolymer concrete, recycled aggregates, and earth-based systems—illustrates a growing diversification in sustainable building practices. However, these innovations must be supported by robust regulatory frameworks, public procurement standards, and industry incentives to overcome technological inertia and market resistance.

Policy intervention is essential to accelerating this transition. Governments must establish clear targets for decarbonization in the construction sector, integrate life-cycle assessment metrics into building codes, and implement carbon pricing mechanisms that internalize the environmental costs of cement and concrete production. Public-sector infrastructure projects should prioritize low-carbon material use through green procurement strategies, while urban planning policies should support adaptive reuse, modular construction, and material circularity. At the same time, educational and professional institutions must equip architects, engineers, and developers with the knowledge and tools to design for material efficiency and sustainability.

Furthermore, the construction industry must move toward a function-driven approach—evaluating materials not solely based on tradition or habit, but on performance relative to need, environmental impact, and long-term resilience. This paradigm shift calls for interdisciplinary collaboration, involving materials scientists, environmental economists, urban planners, and policy makers. Only through such a coordinated effort can the industry transition toward a future in which concrete remains a part of the solution—rather than a persistent contributor to the problem.

In conclusion, concrete is not inherently unsustainable, but its future use must be strategic, optimized, and environmentally accountable. The challenge lies not in discarding concrete entirely, but in reforming its production, rethinking its applications, and reframing its role in the built environment. Achieving this balance is not only a technical and economic imperative but also a moral one, as the sustainability of future generations will, in part, depend on the material choices we make today.

References

[1] Habert G, Miller SA, John VM, Provis JL, Favier A, Horvath A, et al. Environmental impacts and decarbonization strategies in the cement and concrete industries. Nat Rev Earth Environ. 2020; 1(11):559–73.

[2] Scrivener KL, John VM, Gartner EM. Eco-efficient cements: Potential economically viable solutions for a low-CO2 cement-based materials industry. Cem Concr Res. 2018; 114:2–26.

[3] Andrew RM. Global CO 2 emissions from cement production, 1928–2018. Earth Syst Sci Data. 2019; 11(4):1675–710.

[4] Courland R. *Concrete planet: the strange and fascinating story of the world's most common man-made material*. Rowman \& Littlefield; 2022.

[5] Ritchie H. China uses as much cement in two years as the US did over the entire 20th century. 2023. Available from: https://www.sustainabilitybynumbers.com/p/china-us-cement

[6] IEA. International Energy Agency - Cement. 2023. Available from: https://www.iea.org/reports/cement

[7] van Oss HG. USGS Mineral Commodity Summaries: Cement 2021. 2021 Url: https://pubs.usgs.gov/periodicals/mcs2021/mcs2021-cement.pdf.

[8] Antoniou N. GCCA Global Definitions for Low Carbon and Near Zero Concrete. 2025. Available from: https://gccaepd.org/blog/gcca-launches-low-carbon-cement-and-concrete-definitions

[9] The Business Research Company. Cement And Concrete Products Global Market Report 2025. 2025 Url: https://www.thebusinessresearchcompany.com/report/cement-and-concrete-products-global-market-report.

[10] Allwood JM, Cullen JM, Milford RL. Options for Achieving a 50% Cut in Industrial Carbon Emissions by 2050. Environ Sci Technol. 2010; 44(6):1888–94.

[11] International Labour Organization. Green jobs in the construction sector. Geneva; 2020.

[12] Rozenberg J, Fay M. *Beyond the gap: How countries can afford the infrastructure they need while protecting the planet*. World Bank Publications; 2019.

[13] Timilsina G, Hochman G, Song Z. Infrastructure, economic growth, and poverty. World Bank Gr. 2020;

[14] Calderon C, Serven L. *Infrastructure, Growth, and Inequality: An Overview*. World Bank Group, Washington, DC; 2014.

[15] Agyekum K, Kukah AS, Amudjie J. The impact of COVID-19 on the construction industry in Ghana: the case of some selected firms. J Eng Des Technol. 2022; 20(1):222–44.

[16] Griffith-Jones S, Kollatz M. Infrastructure Finance in the Developing World. Multilater Lend Instruments Infrastruct Financ Glob Green Inst Intergov Gr Twenty Four. 2015;

[17] Hutchison N, Squires G, Adair A, Berry J, Lo D, McGreal S, et al. Financing infrastructure development: time to unshackle the bonds? J Prop Invest Financ. 2016; 34(3):208–24.

[18] Kopiika N, Robery P, Ninic J, Mitoulis S-A. Remaining Life of Ageing RC Infrastructure for Sustainable development—Deterioration Under Climate Change. Case Stud Constr Mater. 2025; 22:e04757.

[19] ASCE. 2021 Report Card for America's Infrastructure. 2021 Url: http://www.infrastructurereportcard.org/.

[20] Surahyo A. Physical properties of concrete. In: Concrete Construction: Practical Problems and Solutions. Springer; 2019. p. 61–88.

[21] Yilmaz S, Ozmen HB. *High Performance Concrete Technology and Applications*. Yilmaz S, Ozmen HB, editors. InTech; 2016.

[22] Asgharpour F, Çakiral K, Marar KH. Harnessing microbes for self-healing concrete – A review. Res Eng Struct Mater. 2024;

[23] Buswell RA, Leal de Silva WR, Jones SZ, Dirrenberger J. 3D printing using concrete extrusion: A roadmap for research. Cem Concr Res. 2018; 112:37–49.

[24] Pham LT, Trinh TD, Do QT, Huang JY. Flexural behavior of printed concrete wide beams with dispersed fibers reinforced. Res Eng Struct Mater. 2023;

[25] Cabeza LF, Rincón L, Vilariño V, Pérez G, Castell A. Life cycle assessment (LCA) and life cycle energy analysis (LCEA) of buildings and the building sector: A review. Renew Sustain Energy Rev. 2014; 29:394–416.

[26] Broomfield JP. *Corrosion of Steel in Concrete*. London: CRC Press; 2022.

[27] Torres A, Brandt J, Lear K, Liu J. A looming tragedy of the sand commons. Science (80-). 2017; 357(6355):970–1.

[28] Branigan T. Anger grows over quake schools in China. 2008. Available from: https://www.theguardian.com/world/2008/may/27/china

[29] Ozmen HB, Inel M. Effect of concrete strength and detailing properties on seismic damage for RC structures. Res Des. 2024; 1(1):1–11.

[30] Kou SC, Poon CS. Enhancing the durability properties of concrete prepared with coarse recycled aggregate. Constr Build Mater. 2012; 35:69–76.

[31] Kaabeche S, Belaoura M, Kahlouche R. The effects of the quality of recycled aggregates on the mechanical properties of roller compacted concrete. Res Eng Struct Mater. 2024;

[32] Eurostat. Waste statistics – Statistics Explained. 2022. Available from: https://ec.europa.eu/eurostat/statistics-explained

[33] Leal Filho W, Hunt J, Lingos A, Platje J, Vieira L, Will M, et al. The Unsustainable Use of Sand: Reporting on a Global Problem. Sustainability. 2021; 13(6):3356.

[34] Pandey S, Kumar G, Kumari N, Pandey R. Assessment of Causes and Impacts of Sand Mining on River Ecosystem. In: Hydrogeochemistry of Aquatic Ecosystems. Wiley; 2023. p. 357–79.

[35] H.S. O, E. U, J.E. A, F.C. A. Sand Mining: Economic gains, Environmental Ethics, and Policy Implications. African J Econ Sustain Dev. 2022; 5(2):119–38.

[36] Tastet E. Stealing beaches: A law and economics policy analysis of sand mining. LSU J Energy L \& Resour. 2019; 7:525.

[37] Akhtar N, Ahmad T, Husain D, Majdi A, Alam MT, Husain N, et al. Ecological footprint and economic assessment of conventional and geopolymer concrete for sustainable construction. J Clean Prod. 2022; 380:134910.

[38] Ding C, Dong W, Zhang A, Wang Z, Zhao N, Chen R, et al. Life cycle water footprint assessment of concrete production in Northwest China. Water Policy. 2021; 23(5):1211–29.

[39] Arosio V, Arrigoni A, Dotelli G. Reducing water footprint of building sector: concrete with seawater and marine aggregates. IOP Conf Ser Earth Environ Sci. 2019; 323(1):012127.

[40] Santamouris M. Analyzing the heat island magnitude and characteristics in one hundred Asian and Australian cities and regions. Sci Total Environ. 2015; 512–513:582–98.

[41] UN. Paris Agreement. 2015. Available from: https://sdgs.un.org/frameworks/parisagreement

[42] Guo Y, Luo L, Liu T, Hao L, Li Y, Liu P, et al. A review of low-carbon technologies and projects for the global cement industry. J Environ Sci. 2024; 136:682–97.

[43] Barbhuiya S, Das BB, Adak D, Kapoor K, Tabish M. Low carbon concrete: advancements, challenges and future directions in sustainable construction. Discov Concr Cem. 2025; 1(1):3.

[44] Lim S, Chen C, Akiyama M. State-of-the-Art Review of Recent Technologies and Applications of Carbon-Neutral and Low-Carbon Concretes in Japan, the US, and Europe. Struct Eng Int. 2025; 35(1):106–17.

[45] Xiao J, Shuai J, Deng W, Liu L, Wang P, Li L. Low-Carbon and Green Materials in Construction: Latest Advances and Prospects. Buildings. 2025; 15(9):1508.

[46] Mañosa J, Calderón A, Salgado-Pizarro R, Maldonado-Alameda A, Chimenos JM. Research evolution of limestone calcined clay cement (LC3), a promising low-carbon binder – A comprehensive overview. Heliyon. 2024; 10(3):e25117.

[47] El-Hassan H. Accelerated Carbonation Curing as a Means of Reducing Carbon Dioxide Emissions. In: Cement Industry - Optimization, Characterization and Sustainable Application. IntechOpen; 2021.

[48] Dixit A, Du H, Pang SD. Carbon capture in ultra-high performance concrete using pressurized CO2 curing. Constr Build Mater. 2021; 288:123076.

[49] Siegel RP. Cutting the Carbon from Concrete. Mech Eng. 2020; 142(02):38–43.

[50] Norouzi N, Choubanpishehzafar S. An Overview on the Carbon Utilization Technologies with an approach to the negative emission construction material. Int J New Chem. 2021; 8(3):298–328.

[51] Lourenço MEL. The concrete effect on Co2 emissions. Dissertation. Universidade de Lisboa (Portugal); 2023.

[52] Davidovits J. *Geopolymer chemistry and applications*. Geopolymer Institute; 2008.

[53] Churkina G, Organschi A, Reyer CPO, Ruff A, Vinke K, Liu Z, et al. Buildings as a global carbon sink. Nat Sustain. 2020; 3(4):269–76.

[54] Jaquin PA, Augarde CE. *Earth building: History, science and conservation*. 2012.

[55] Sharma B, Gatóo A, Bock M, Ramage M. Engineered bamboo for structural applications. Constr Build Mater. 2015; 81:66–73.

[56] Ip K, Miller A. Life cycle greenhouse gas emissions of hemp–lime wall constructions in the UK. Resour Conserv Recycl. 2012; 69:1–9.

[57] World Steel Association. Steel facts. 2023.

[58] Sonebi M, Ammar Y, Diederich P. Sustainability of cement, concrete and cement replacement materials in construction. In: Sustainability of Construction Materials. Elsevier; 2016. p. 371–96.

[59] Zabalza Bribián I, Valero Capilla A, Aranda Usón A. Life cycle assessment of building materials: Comparative analysis of energy and environmental impacts and evaluation of the eco-efficiency improvement potential. Build Environ. 2011; 46(5):1133–40.